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Abstract—An approach for cancer molecular classification based on their gene expression profiles is proposed. Four subtypes of the small, round blue-cell tumors (SRBCTs) were classified in this research. The Bhattacharyya distance of each gene was used as the gene selection method to select the twelve preliminary good genes for the SRBCTs subtypes classification. We then developed a classification method based on a fuzzy neural network (FNN) and a three-level classification model. Using the twelve preliminary good genes we did 100,000 iterations for each experiment on each level by using the FNN. After the experiments we got the number of base cases (BC) for each gene. By the number of BC we deleted the bad genes one by one by using the FNN. Finally, we selected four genes for the SRBCTs subtype classification with 100% classification accuracy.
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I. INTRODUCTION

With the successful completion of the Human Genome Project (HGP), we are entering the post genomic era. Facing mass amounts of data, traditional biological experiments and data analysis techniques encounter great challenges. In this situation, cDNA microarrays and high-density oligonucleotide chips are novel biotechnologies as global (genome-wide or system-wide) experimental approaches that are effectively used in systematical analysis of large-scale genome data. In recent years, with its ability to measure simultaneously the activities and interactions of thousands of genes, microarray promises new insights into the mechanisms of living systems and is attracting more and more interest for solving scientific problems and in industrial applications. Meanwhile, further biological and medical research also promoted the development and application of microarray.

Typical issues addressed by microarray experiments include two main aspects: finding co-regulated genes for classification based on different cell-type [1], stage-specific [2], [3], disease-related [4]-[6], or treatment-related [6]-[8] patterns of gene expression and understanding gene regulatory networks by analyzing functional roles of genes in cellular processes [9], [10]. Here we focus on the former, especially on tumor classification using gene expression data, which is a hot topic in recent years and has received general attention by many biological and medical researchers [11]-[18]. A reliable and precise classification of tumors based on gene expression data may lead to a more complete understanding of molecular variations among tumors, and hence, to better diagnosis and treatment strategies.

Microarray experiments usually generate large datasets with expression values for thousands of genes (2000–20 000) but not more than a few dozens samples (20–80). Thus, very accurate classification of tissue samples in such high-dimensional problems is difficult, but often crucial, for successful diagnosis and treatment. Several comprehensively comparative and improved methods have been proposed recently [18-20]. In this paper, we introduce a combinational feature selection method using neural fuzzy networks to remarkably decrease the number of differently expressed genes (DEG) for the sample classification. In recent years, several researchers have used ensemble neural networks for tumor classification based on gene expression data [12], [21]. Khan et al. [12] used neural networks to classify 4 subcategories of small round blue-cell tumors. By using 3750 networks generated by three fold cross-validation 1250 times and using the list of 96 most influential genes as the inputs, they reported very excellent results based on their dataset. Also O'Neill and Song [21] used neural networks to analyze lymphoma microarray data and can predict the long-term survival of individual patients with 100% accuracy based on the datasets published by Alizadeh et al [18]. Both of them are very good work in microarray data analysis using neural networks.

In this paper, we applied a fuzzy neural network (FNN) which is named NEWFM (neu-ro-fuzzy network with a weighted fuzzy membership function) [22] to the SRBCTs classification. The small, round blue cell tumors (SRBCTs) of childhood, which include neuroblasto-toma (NB), rhabdomyosarcoma (RMS), burkitt lymphomas (BL), and the Ewing family of tumors (EWS), are so named because of their similar appearance on routine histology [23]. NEWFM is a kind of FNN which is modeled on the structure and behavior of neurons in the human brain and can be trained to recognize and categorize complex patterns [22]. The problem of feature selection is very important in pattern recognition. Feature selection in this paper is gene selection. We used Bhattacharyya distance [23] for the preliminary good gene selection method. The Bhattacharyya distance of...
each gene was used as the criterion for ranking genes in the training dataset. In statistics, the Bhattacharyya distance measures the similarity of two discrete or continuous probability distributions. It is a measurement of the amount of overlap between two statistical samples or populations. We calculated the Bhattacharyya distance between each subtype and other three subtypes, and then applied the Bhattacharyya distance to rank genes. Because the Bhattacharyya distance discriminate only two classes, we constructed a three-level classification model for the four SRBCTs subtypes classification. Then, we selected the twelve top ranked genes as the preliminary good genes for the further gene selection. In the further gene selection, we did 100,000 iterations for each experiment on each level by using the FNN. After the experiments we got the number of BC (the number of the bad effect in the 100,000 times classification) for each gene. We summed all the BC on the three levels and gave an order of the sum. The gene with bigger sum is less differently expressed gene (DEG). Therefore, we deleted the bad genes one by one by the order of the sum using the FNN. Finally, we selected four best genes for the SRBCTs subtype classification with 100% classification accuracy.

II. MATERIALS AND METHOD

A. Materials

Khan [12] filtered the 6567 cDNA gene expression profiles by requiring a minimal intensity of expression, which reduced the number of genes to 2308 [12]. In this research, we used the 2308 genes with 63 training samples (23 EWS, 8 BL, 12 NB, and 20 RMS samples) and 20 test samples (6 EWS, 3 BL, 6 NB, and 5 RMS). Each sample was a feature vector of 2308 natural log-normalized gene expression values.

B. Gene Selection Method and Multiclass Classification Model

![Figure 1. Structure of Preliminary Gene Selection.](image)

**Preliminary Gene Selection:** The preliminary gene selection method includes six steps for four groups which were grouped before the gene selection process, as shown in Fig. 1. In step 1, we divided the samples into two classes (class one and class two) in each group. For example, in group 1, the four subtypes are divided into EWS and other three subtypes. There are the same in group 2, 3, and 4. In step 2, we calculated the Bhattacharyya distances between class one and class two in each group. And then we listed the genes by Bhattacharyya distances in descending order. The gene with bigger distance is more DEG. In step 3, we selected the first ten biggest distance genes from each group. In step 4, we deleted the bad gene one by one from bottom to top of the ten biggest distance genes in each group by NEWFM. After step 4, we selected 6, 3, 3, and 3 best genes from group 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. Because there have several duplicated genes in the four groups, finally we got twelve best genes for the SRBCTs subtypes classification.

![Figure 2. Structure of preliminary gene selection.](image)

**Multiclass Classification Model:** Because the Bhattacharyya distance only can calculate the distance between two classes, we made a three-level classification model for the four SRBCTs subtypes classification. For making the three-level classification model, we gave the sum of the rankings of the twelve best genes in each group as shown in Table I. The group 3 has the smallest sum, that means these twelve best genes have significant strengths in classify NB and other three subtypes. So, in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image Id.</th>
<th>ranking in group 1</th>
<th>ranking in group 2</th>
<th>ranking in group 3</th>
<th>ranking in group 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>770394</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>866702</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>814260</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>377461</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245330</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295985</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>1289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>784224</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>461425</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>812105</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236282</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>839736</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43733</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

sum of ranking 1252 1485 1197 2321

order of sum 2 3 1 4
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the first level of our model is to classify NB from the four subtypes. The result of level one is classifying the four subtypes into class one (NB) and class two (EWS, RMS, and BL). In the same way, the second level is to classify EWS from the class two which is classified in level one, the third level is to classify RMS and BL. The three-level classification model is shown on Fig. 2.

Further Gene Selection: We did 100,000 iterations for each experiment on each level by using the FNN. After the experiments we got the number of BC for each gene, the number of BC are shown on Table II. Then we summed all the BC on the three levels and gave an order of the sum. The gene with bigger sum is less DEG. Therefore, we deleted the bad genes one by one by the order of the sum using the FNN. Finally, we selected four genes for the SRBCts subtype classification with 100% classification accuracy.

Therefore, we deleted the bad genes one by one by the order of the sum using the FNN. Finally, we selected four genes for the SRBCts subtype classification with 100% classification accuracy.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

The performance results of this study can achieved at 100% recognition accuracy with only four best genes. In Khan’s research, they classified the four SRBCts subtypes with 96 best genes. The best genes in our study are greatly less than in Khan’s research.

In the future research, we will apply this method on other gene expression profiles analysis. We will work on how to use the standard deviation or other mathematical method to get more efficiently microarray data analysis method.
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