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Abstract—Non Local Means (NLM) Algorithm proposed by Buades et al., gave remarkable denoising results at expense of computational cost. Darbon et al. used the separable property of the algorithm to create a faster implementation. In this paper, parallelization of this modified Non Local Means denoising algorithm using Heterogeneous computing platforms like Central Processing Unit (CPU) and Graphical Processing Unit (GPU) is developed. The algorithm is implemented on GPU with the help of OpenCL API. Experimental results show that the GPU based implementation is about 25 times faster than the CPU based implementation of Buades et al. algorithm and around 85 times faster than Darbon et al. implementation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Denoising is one of the most important techniques in image processing. It is widely used in a variety of areas such as computer vision, biomedical image processing, 3D object detection, satellite imaging etc. Any unwanted signal that alters the value of the original signal is called noise. Noise degrades the quality of the image and as a result efficient noise removal is required in various image related applications for getting reliable results.

The main objective of the image denoising algorithm is to remove the unwanted signal while preserving the original image as much as possible,

\[ V(i) = U(i) + N(i) \]  

where \( V(i) \) is the observed image, \( U(i) \) is the true image and \( N(i) \) is the noise at a pixel \( i \). The best simple way to study the effect of noise on a digital image is to add Gaussian white noise [1].

Over the years many algorithms have been proposed to denoise the image. Even though several methods are used for denoising they share a common trait, i.e. averaging. This averaging may be performed locally; the Gaussian smoothing model (Gabor [2]), the anisotropic filtering (Perona-Malik [3], Alvarez et al. [4]) and the neighborhood filtering (Yaroslavsky [5], Smith et al. [6], Tomasi et al. [7]), by the calculus of variations: the Total Variation minimization (Rudin-Osher-Fatemi [8]), or in the frequency domain: the empirical Wiener filters (Yaroslavsky [5]) and wavelet thresholding methods (Coifman-Donoho [9], [10]). Main drawback of these filters is that they do not preserve the edges properly. As a result the finer details present in the image are lost and the image appears blurred. In order to avoid this, Buades et al. proposed Non Local Means Algorithm for denoising in 2005 [1]. It was based on the concept of self-similarity. This method has shown convincing and remarkable results but it was found to be computationally intense. As a result the time taken to denoise a single image was very high. In order to address this issue, Darbon et al. [11] came up with a modified version of the algorithm in which they used the separable property of the filter to create a faster parallel implementation. But even this implementation is slow, so we tried to create a parallelized implementation of this algorithm using Open Computing Language (OpenCL).

Rest of the paper is organized into five more sections. Section II gives a brief overview about Heterogeneous computing and OpenCL. In Section III, we have discussed the Non Local Means algorithm and its modification. Section IV discusses the implementation details on GPU. Experimental results are shown in Section V. In Section VI, we give a brief conclusion of the paper.

II. HETEROGENEOUS COMPUTING WITH OPENCL

Applications possess a number of workload behaviors ranging from control intensive (e.g., searching) to data intensive (e.g., image processing). They can also be classified as compute intensive, where the overall output depends on the computational power of the underlying architecture [12]. As a result, no single architecture can run all the workloads efficiently and the need for multi architectural devices increased. Heterogeneous computing refers to the process of using multiple devices such as Central Processing Units (CPU), Graphical Processing Units (GPU), Digital Signal Processors (DSP), Field Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGA) etc. to execute different modules of the same program. This kind of systems allows programmers to select the best architecture to execute the task at hand. They also increase the computational power and as they have different devices, they also allow us to execute different kinds of workloads efficiently. OpenCL has been developed to ease the programming burden when writing applications for heterogeneous devices.

OpenCL is a framework for writing programs that execute across heterogeneous platforms consisting of CPUs, GPUs, DSPs, field-programmable gate arrays (FPGAs) and other processors [12]. It is an open standard maintained by the nonprofit technology consortium...
Khronos Group. It supports wide range of parallelism and efficiently maps to the devices [12]. OpenCL consists of two parts, language and Applications Programming Interface (API). The language is a restricted version of C99 language with extensions appropriate for writing kernel (functions executed in the OpenCL devices) codes. The OpenCL specification is divided into four parts called models.

A. Platform Model

This model defines an abstract hardware model used by programmers while writing OpenCL functions (Kernels). Here on processor is defined as host which coordinates the execution of data and transfer between other hardware. One or more processors capable of executing OpenCL C code are defined as devices and they are used by the host to execute different workloads. It also defines host-device interaction. A typical scenario describes an x86 CPU as a host and a GPU as an accelerator (OpenCL Device).

B. Execution Model

This model defines how OpenCL environment is configured on the host and how kernels are executed on the devices. Here an OpenCL context is setup on the host and it provides mechanisms for host device interaction.

C. Memory Model

In this model, an abstract memory hierarchy is defined regardless of the underlying actual memory architecture and is used by the kernels. Even though memory model vary greatly between different computing platforms, this abstract memory model defined by the OpenCL can map to different devices.

D. Programming Model

Programming model is where the programmer will parallelize the algorithm. OpenCL is designed both for data and task parallelism.

III. DENOSING ALGORITHM

In this section we briefly review non local algorithm by Buades et al. [1] and fast non local approach by Darbon et al. [11].

A. Non Local Means Algorithm

The concept of self-similarity was first developed by Efros and Leung for texture synthesis [13]. Non Local Means Algorithm was also based on this concept. This concept can be better explained with the help of Fig. 1.

In the figure, pixels P, Q1, Q2 and Q3 along with their neighbourhoods are shown. It can be seen that the pixels P, Q1 and Q2 have similar neighbourhoods whereas the neighbourhood of the pixel Q3 is dissimilar to the other pixel neighbourhood. In NLM method, the denoised value at any pixel depends on the similarity between the pixel neighbourhoods. Fig. 2 shows the denoising output of Non Local Means Filter.

In Non Local Means Algorithm, the denoised value \( \hat{V}_i \) at any pixel \( i \) is given by:

\[
\hat{V}(i) = \frac{1}{Z(i)} \sum_j W(i,j) V(j)
\]  

where \( V \) is the observed or noisy image and \( W(i,j) \) is the family of weights which depend on the similarity between pixels at \( i \) and \( j \) and it should satisfy the conditions \( 0 \leq W(i,j) \leq 1 \) and \( \sum W(i,j) = 1 \).

The similarity between pixels \( i \) and \( j \) depends on the similarity between the neighbourhoods \( N_i \) and \( N_j \) of the pixels and the similarity between the neighbourhoods of the pixels is calculated as the weighted sum squared difference of the neighbourhoods \( ssd(i,j) \). It is given by:

\[
ssd(i,j) = \left\| V(N_i) - V(N_j) \right\|^2_{2,a}
\]

where \( a \) is the gaussian kernel of variance \( \sigma^2 \) applied to the sum squared difference [14]. The weights associated which each pixel is computed using the formula given below:

\[
W(i,j) = \frac{1}{Z(i)} e^{-\frac{ssd(i,j)}{h^2}}
\]

where \( Z(i) \) is the normalizing constant and is given by

\[
Z(i) = \sum_j e^{-\frac{ssd(i,j)}{h^2}}
\]

and \( h \) is the degree of filtering.

B. Fast Non Local Means Algorithm

As we know, the most time consuming part of Non Local Means algorithm is the weight calculation. In this modified algorithm, efficient calculation of weights \( W(i,j) \) associated with each pixel is done. For this the concept of Sum Squared Image [14] is used. The basis behind Sum Squared Image resembles that of Integral
Image used in face detection algorithms [15]. For better understanding of the concept we explain the algorithm for 1D images; extending to higher dimensions is straightforward.

Consider a 1D image with \( n \) pixels i.e. \( \Omega = [0, n-1] \). Consider a new image \( V_\Omega \) and translation vector \( dx \).

\[
V_{dx}(p) = \sum_{j=0}^{p} (V(j) - V(j + dx))^2, \quad p \in \Omega
\]  

(6)

where \( V_\Omega \) corresponds to sum of the squared difference of image \( V \) and its translation by \( dx \) [11]. It can be found that in the paper, Darbon et al. with proper re-parametrization made the computation of weights associated with each pixel dependent only on the Sum Squared Image \( V_{dx} \) and its translation images.

It is found that the computation of weights associated with each pixel is independent and as a result can be parallelized. In this paper, we try to make use of the inherent parallelism and run it in GPU with the help of OpenCL API.

IV. IMPLEMENTATION

In this paper we implement non local means algorithm suggested by Buades et al. [1] as well as the modified algorithm by Darbon et al. [11] using OpenCL.

Use of GPUs to do highly parallelizable computations that are normally handled by CPUs is called General Purpose computing on Graphics Processing Units (GPGPU) [16], [17]. It is found that GPUs tend to outperform CPUs in computing highly parallel codes [18]. In GPGPU, we make the sequential code to run on CPU and process the highly parallel code using GPU [19]. In this paper, we make use of the algorithmic acceleration capacity of GPUs without compromising on the denoising quality of Non Local Means Filter.

Aim of this work is to reduce the computational time of the NLM algorithm. It is found that the most time consuming part of the Non Local Means algorithm and its modified version is the computation of weights corresponding to each pixel.

In NLM algorithm, suggested by Buades et al., for each pixel in the image it takes \( L^2 \times M^3 \) computations, where \( L^2 \) denotes the size of the similarity window and \( M^3 \) is the size of the search window in the image. So the total computation will be \( L^2 \times M^3 \times N^2 \) where \( N^2 \) is the number of pixels in the image. As a result, NLM requires minutes to denoise even a single image. Due to this, practical application of this algorithm is limited.

In Fast NLM suggested by Darbon et al., the number of computations required to for each pixel in the image is reduced to \( 2^\ast M^2 \). So the total computation will be \( 2^\ast M^2 \times N^2 \). Due to reduction in computational complexity, the algorithm is much faster than original algorithm. But for higher dimensional pictures the algorithm is still slow. So we try to improve its applicability by parallelizing the algorithm using GPU.

First the image is obtained from camera or hard drive using OpenCV and is converted into a grey scale image. Additive White Gaussian noise is added to the image. The noisy image along with denoising parameter is sent to the GPU. Denoising algorithm is done on GPU. Here the computation of the Euclidean weights for each pixel is done on separate work item. So there are different work items working in parallel at any time, thereby reducing the computation time drastically. After the computation of weights for each pixel, the pixel value is modified. Fig. 3 shows the flow diagram.

![Diagram](image-url)

Figure 3. In all experiments additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) of \( \sigma=25 \) is added to the input image and the denoising algorithm is applied.

Implementation is done on a system with core i5 (2nd gen) CPU and AMD Radeon 7950 GPU.

V. RESULTS

Computation of weights of each pixel is done on separate compute units. Since total number of pixels in image is more than the number of compute units available, hence all the computation cannot be done in parallel. Computation of weights associated with each pixel is done on GPU. In normal Non Local Means only the input image, output image and denoising parameters are transferred between CPU and GPU. Whereas in the modified algorithm sum squared image is also passed. Overheads associated with data transfers are less compared to the computational time.

The performance comparison is shown on Table I and Table II.

<p>| Table I. Performance Comparison for Normal Non Local Means |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image Size</th>
<th>Time Taken for Denoising</th>
<th>Speedup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>64 X 64</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128 X 128</td>
<td>967</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256 X 256</td>
<td>3866</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512 X 512</td>
<td>15373</td>
<td>615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024 X 1024</td>
<td>61801</td>
<td>2314</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Table II. Performance Comparison for Fast Non Local Means |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image Size</th>
<th>Time Taken for Denoising</th>
<th>Speedup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>256 X 256</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512 X 512</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024 X 1024</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2048 X 2048</td>
<td>2974</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4096 X 4096</td>
<td>11448</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table I shows the performance comparison between GPU and CPU implementation of Normal NLM algorithm and Table II compares the implementation results of Fast NLM algorithm. It can be seen that OpenCL implementation in both cases is much faster than CPU. Even though the performance improvement is less in smaller images, in larger images there is a drastic performance gain. In normal case an improvement of about 25x times is seen whereas in fast implementation, performance gain of around 85x is obtained.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper parallel implementation of Fast Non local Means algorithm is done and it is compared with the CPU based implementation. Performance gain of about 85x is obtained over regular implementation. Real-time problems associated with NLM can be tackled using our implementation.
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