Multiple Watermarking with Biometric Data Using Discrete Curvelets and Contourlets
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Abstract—The use of biometric data to increase the robustness and security of private data has been mentioned and studied extensively. The multiple watermarking approach has proposed in this paper aims to improve the security of biometric data features; we consider using multiple watermarking techniques with fingerprint, face, iris and signature features. Before embedding, the fingerprint feature extracted by Minutiae with Gabor filter enhancement. Iris feature is extracted by Daugman Gabor filter. Face and signature features are extracted through a Gabor filter that combines PCA. Then the features of Iris and Fingerprint are mixed together, called iris-finger. And facial and signature features are also mixed together in terms of coefficients, called face-sig. The iris-finger feature set is embedded in the curvelet coefficients at level 1. The face-sig feature set is embedded in curvelet coefficients at level 2. All features of the fingerprint, iris, face and signature are used for authentication and copyright protection if there are attacks. The results have been also compared to others approaches.

Index Terms—biometric features, multilevel discrete curvelet transform, contourlet transform, multiple watermarking, daugman gabor filter, gabor wavelet, minutiae, PCA

I. INTRODUCTION

The development of modern science and technology, as well as the rapid increase of communication technologies, poses problems of copyright and security authentication. In particular, Digital watermarking allows embedding of hidden information into digital data. The Biometrics features contain individual characteristics. It is used for an individual verification and authentication [1] to improve security and sustainability, in watermarking [2], to embed digital images via DWT 4 level, or other techniques [3], [4].

The current watermarking methods largely use original images, as well as text information, digital images, video and audio [3], [5], [6]. The review aims to change watermark information in different combinations such as Othman & Ros’s IrisPrint [7]. And multiple watermarking methods like Rohit Thanki [8] use a variety of biometric features with embedded methods of embedding different features on different discrete curvelet transform frequencies. However, we are concerned that separating features at different frequencies can lead to unsustainability when attacks eliminate those frequencies.

In another work by Thien Huynh-The [2] on improving the optimization of watermarking techniques, he mentions embedding coding information into 4-DWT blocks, to improve the complexity and sustainability of watermarking information, before the attack. It has also been compared to SVD and DWT in ref [9].

Multiple watermarking technique uses a variety of features to protect one or more of the original information, such as using fingerprint, iris, face or signature simultaneously embedded on the original image [3, 5, 8, 10, 11, 12]. This helps ensure the robust, reliable, and robust requirements of confidential information. Multiple watermarking techniques can be divided into the following categories: Composite Watermarking, Segmented Watermarking, Successive Watermarking; it’s described as the refer [8].

II. REFERENCED WATERMARKING TECHNIQUE

According to a study by Rohit Thanki and his colleagues, the multiple watermarking technique uses biometric features extracted from original information such as iris, fingerprint, face and signature, using ISEF Shen-Castan edge detection and Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Features are given the same size and are embedded in the original image based on Fast Discrete Curvelet Transform (FDCT) [8]. The schema is as follows in Fig. 2:
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Watermark information are extracted as a PCA feature then each feature is embedded in the FDCT wrapping at different frequencies. The method enables rapid watermarking through an FDCT transform. However, the method of limiting the extraction of the features of each biometric data are different, requiring appropriate extraction techniques and the ability to recognize this information as needed. In addition, embedding different frequencies can lead to vulnerabilities that damage the watermark at certain frequencies.

III. PROPOSED WATERMARKING TECHNIQUE

In this paper, we embed multiple biometric features into an original image. Forms of attack include compression, histogram, watermarked image editing. Implementing a combination of attributes into a different domain and embedding one at a time will have limitations. Therefore, we consider this technical proposal watermarking.

The watermark embedding technique is shown in Fig. 3. We set out the problem of combining Composite Watermarking and Successive Watermarking. In this technique, the fingerprint feature is extracted through Gabor and Minutiae filters; Iris feature extracted through Daugman Gabor filter (ref [18]); Face feature filtered through PCA and Gabor algorithms; Signature filtered through Gabor. The results are extracted in the form of Gabor matrix, these Gabor coefficients are combined in pairs (Fingerprint + Iris, Face + Signature), the composite feature set will be embedded in the original image at different levels.

In that, original image will be decomposed to 2 levels using Multilevel Discrete Curvlet or Multilevel Contourlet Transform. At level 1, the transform coefficients are embedded with the feature set iris-finger; Then, get the result, continue decomposing at level 2. At level 2, the coefficients are embedded with the feature set face-sig. Finally, to do the reverse level 2 transform, we obtain the watermarked image.

The biometric data feature will be saved and used in extracting and comparing properties separated from the watermarked image, as shown in Fig. 4. The watermarked image is decomposed at level 2 to separate the attribute set. Face-sig first, then reverse at level 1 to separate the Iris-Finger attribute, the opposite process of embedding.

Both sets of attributes are parsed back to their original attributes: Face, Signature, Iris and Finger Feature. We
compared the extracted attribute sets with the original attribute set to evaluate criteria such as PSNR, SSIM for attack detection, sustainability, and security of the method.

A. Feature Extraction of Biometric Watermarks

With various extraction methods as mentioned in [3, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 12, 19, 20], in which we consider the extraction ability of the Gabor filter bank, they are performed based on frequencies, orientations and Gaussian factor. In this paper, we propose a new approach to the Gabor filter bank, the filter that creates a compact Gabor filter bank, and reduces the computational complexity of attribute extraction. The form of the Gabor function that given as

\[
G(x,y) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{x^2}{\delta^2_x} + \frac{y^2}{\delta^2_y} \right] \right\} \cos(2\pi f x) \tag{1}
\]

where, f represents the local ridge frequency of the fingerprint where an iris minutia will be appended, and \( \delta_x \) and \( \delta_y \) are the space constants of the filter envelope along x and y axes, respectively.

In extracting iris features, we rely on log-Gabor filters modified similarly to the method proposed by Daugman to extract the iris phase information instead of the complex Gabor filters used. Daugman algorithm (2)

\[
\begin{align*}
\max_{(r,x_0,y_0)} & \quad \left| G_p(r) \ast \frac{\partial}{\partial r} G_{\phi}(x_0,y_0) \frac{i(x,y)}{2\pi r} ds \right| \\
& \quad \text{where I(x, y) is the eye image, r is the radius to searches over the image (x,y), G(r) is a Gaussian smoothing function. A log-Gabor filter is used for capturing the local structure of the normalized annular iris. Refer to Fig. 5 below.}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
G(f) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{(log(f/f_0))^2}{(log(\sigma/f_0))^2} \right\} \tag{3}
\]

In the feature extraction process, we propose to use the advantage of the Gabor filter that has been appreciated in this regard.

B. Mixing Pair of Feature Iris-Fingerprint, Face-Signature

For the purpose of enhancing complexity and mutual authentication, we consider mixing the watermark feature in kit, including: iris watermark feature with fingerprint watermark feature, face watermark feature with signature watermark feature. In order to do this, the combined features will be rescaled to the same size and then combined under the equation (4), (5).

\[
F_{IP} = F_{Iris} \ast (1 + k1 \times F_{Fingerprint}) \tag{4}
\]

\[
F_{FS} = F_{Face} \ast (1 + k2 \times F_{Signature}) \tag{5}
\]

where k1, k2 are gain factor, \( F_{Iris} \) is the Iris feature, \( F_{Fingerprint} \) is the Fingerprint feature, \( F_{Face} \) is the Face feature, \( F_{Signature} \) is the signature, \( F_{IP} \) is the iris-fingerprint combination, and \( F_{FS} \) is the face-signature combination.

IV. MULTIPLE BIOMETRIC WATERMARK PROCESSING

In this proposed method, the original image will be embedded with multiple biometric features information at 2 transform levels. Multiple watermark data will be embedded in the Multilevel Discrete Curvelet Transform and Contourlet Transform (CCT) coefficients, to perform and evaluate the watermarking capabilities of the two algorithms.

A. Multiple Biometric Watermark Embedding

1) Take a fingerprint, iris, face and signature of the individual as a watermark information.

2) Then Iris feature is extracted by Daugman Gabor filter. Face and signature features are extracted through a Gabor filter that combines PCA. These biometric
watermark features are denoted as \( F_{IP} \) (iris - fingerprint) and \( F_{PS} \) (face-signature).

3) Implement Fast Discrete Curvelet Transform and Contourlet Transform (CCT) to calculate the coefficients of the host image at 2 levels, and embed the information at each level as follows

\[
C_{\text{Watermarked, level1}} = C_{\text{Curvelet, level1}}(1 + k \times F_{IP})
\]  
\[
C_{\text{Watermarked, level2}} = C_{\text{Curvelet, level2}}(1 + k4 \times F_{PS})
\]

4) Applied reverse frequency UFFT based Multilevel Fast Discrete Curvelet Transform and Contourlet Transform (CCT) on modified coefficients with another unmodified coefficient to get watermarked biometric image.

B. Multiple Biometric Watermark Extraction

1) Take a watermarked image and apply Multilevel Fast Discrete Curvelet Transform and Contourlet Transform (CCT) to calculate the coefficients of the host image at 2 levels on it to convert into various coefficients.

2) Extracted sparse measurements of a watermark biometric image using the reverse procedure of embedding.

\[
RF_{PS} = \frac{C_{\text{Watermarked, level2}} - 1}{C_{\text{Curvelet, level2}}} k
\]
\[
RF_{IP} = \frac{C_{\text{Watermarked, level1}} - 1}{C_{\text{Curvelet, level1}}} k
\]

where \( k \) = gain factor, \( RF_{IP} \) = recovered features of iris-fingerprint watermark, \( RF_{PS} \) = recovered features of face-signature watermark.

3) Using biometric watermark features are denoted as \( F_{IP} \) (iris - fingerprint) and \( F_{PS} \) (face-signature) to compare with \( RF_{IP} \) and \( RF_{PS} \).

C. Comparison of Features Extracted with Original Features

For the evaluation of quality, authentication and robustness of the proposed method, we used the Structural Similarity Index Measure (SSIM) to compute similarity between two features.

\[
S_1 = \text{SSIM}(F_{IP}, RF_{IP})
\]
\[
S_2 = \text{SSIM}(F_{PS}, RF_{PS})
\]

\[
S = \frac{S_1 + S_2}{2}
\]

where \( S_1 \) = similarity between iris-fingerprint watermark features, \( S_2 \) = similarity between face-signature watermark features, \( S \) = average similarity between multiple watermark features. Similar to the evaluation method in [8], we consider the SSIM results in two cases:

- Confirm attack if \( S > \mu \)
- Confirm attack if \( S \leq \mu \)

where \( S \) = average value of SSIM, \( \mu \) = threshold decision.

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this proposed watermarking, multiple biometric features are embedded in an original lena image, and the image afterwards are used to check the image quality. Biometric data models are available from CASIA, faculty chicagobooth, YCCE College; about 100 sets of diometric data are used for testing. Quantitative assessment methods are used, such as the Structural Similarity Index Measure (SSIM), PSNR, to evaluate the quality, individual authentication and sustainability of biometric features. Fig. 9 shows the biometric feature and the original image used for the watermark. The size of host image is 512x512 pixels and a size of watermark image is 128x128.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attacks</th>
<th>PSNR (dB)</th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>Mean SSIM (S)</th>
<th>Decision about Attacked</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Attack</td>
<td>44.21</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPEG Compression (Q = 80)</td>
<td>39.24</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPEG Compression (Q = 70)</td>
<td>36.57</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaussian Noise (( \mu = 0 ), ( \sigma = 0.001 ))</td>
<td>31.43</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt &amp; Pepper Noise (( \text{Noise Density} = 0.005 ))</td>
<td>28.03</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speckle Noise (( \text{Variance} = 0.004 ))</td>
<td>36.24</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Filter (( \text{size} = 3 \times 3 ))</td>
<td>39.02</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Filter (( \text{size} = 3 \times 3 ))</td>
<td>35.73</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaussian Low Pass Filter (( \text{size} = 3 \times 3 ))</td>
<td>41.34</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histogram Equalization</td>
<td>20.05</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cropping</td>
<td>35.73</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The biometric data are used embedded in the original image. The size of the iris and fingerprint features is 48x128; size of face and signature features is 128x128. The watermark feature is a 48x128-sized iris-fingerprint, and the face-signature is 128x128.

In this article, the watermarked image is tested by different attacks such as JPEG compression, Gaussian noise and others noise, some type of filter and cropping attacks. We use the threshold of \( \mu \) as 0.85 to determine the attack. For a general evaluation, we consider the PSNR, SSIM and the mean SSIM values as shown in Table I.
The proposed multiple watermarking was compared to the same criteria in the references as in Table II. The results suggest that the proposed technique is superior to the techniques described.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we propose a new method for performing multiple watermarking. We have implemented embedded biometric features using the Daugman Gabor filter, Minutiae, PCA; and use the or Multilevel Fast Discrete Curvelet Transform and Contourlet Transform (CCT) for attack detection, individual recognition and copyright authentication. We have presented various approaches performing watermarking on the whole frequency domain of multiscale wavelets: transform coefficients of curvelet and contourlet, and at various levels. As well, combining features in sets will increase the complexity and authenticity of the biometric feature. The experimental results show that our approach is performed better than existing watermarking referenced.

In the future, we consider developing models with color photos and realtime video.
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